1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 2 3 NATIVE VILLAGE OF NAKNEK, 4 **CASE NO. C14-5740 BHS** Plaintiff, 5 ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO v. SHOW CAUSE 6 JONES PACIFIC MARITIME, LLC, et al., 7 Defendants. 8 9 This matter comes before the Court on Defendants Harvey B. Jones and Jones Pacific 10 Maritime, LLC's (collectively "Jones") motion for order to show cause why arrest of the vessel SEAHORSE, on 292012, should not be vacated. Dkt. 30. The Court has considered the 11 pleadings filed in support of and in opposition to the motion and the remainder of the file and 12 hereby grants the motion for the reasons stated herein. 13 I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY 14 On September 19, 2014, Plaintiff Native Village of Naknek ("Naknek") filed a complaint 15 against Jones in personam and the ship F/V SEAHORSE ("SEAHORSE") in rem in an action to 16 clear title to SEAHORSE and restore her possession to Naknek. Dkt. 1. Naknek also filed an 17 emergency motion for arrest of the vessel SEAHORSE. Dkt. 2. On September 18, 2014, the 18 Court granted Naknek's motion. Dkt. 6. On October 7, 2014, the SEAHORSE was arrested. 19 Dkt. 11. 20 On December 11, 2014, Naknek moved for judgment on the pleadings. Dkt. 19. On 21 March 16, 2015, the Court denied Naknek's motion. Dkt. 28. 22

1 On March 26, 2015, Jones filed this motion for an order to show cause. Dkt. 30. On April 6, 2015, Naknek responded. Dkt. 33. On April 10, 2015, Jones filed a reply. Dkt. 36. 2 II. DISCUSSION 3 Jones asserts a right to a show cause hearing under the Supplemental Admiralty Rules of 4 procedure, which provide in relevant part as follows: 5 Procedure for Release From Arrest or Attachment. Whenever property is 6 arrested or attached, any person claiming an interest in it shall be entitled to a prompt hearing at which the plaintiff shall be required to show why the arrest or 7 attachment should not be vacated or other relief granted consistent with these rules. 8 Fed. R. Civ. P. Supp. Admiralty Rule E(4)(f). 9 In this case, the Court is without discretion to deny Defendants' a hearing because the 10 rule says that Defendants "shall be entitled to a prompt hearing" *Id.* Therefore, the Court 11 grants the motion. 12 III. ORDER Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Jones's motion for order to show cause (Dkt. 30) 13 is **GRANTED** and a show cause hearing is set for May 27, 2015, at 2:30 PM. The briefing 14 schedule is as follows: Naknek's opening brief due 5/19/2015; Jones' response brief due 15 5/22/2015; Naknek's reply brief due 5/26/2015. 16 Dated this 13th day of May, 2015. 17 18 19 20 United States District Judge 21 22